

1 SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
2 Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
3 Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
4 Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
5 101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
6 Los Angeles, CA 90004
7 Telephone: (213) 205-6560
8 cm@SoCalEAG.com

9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
11 MICHAEL HARRIS
12
13

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
16

17 MICHAEL HARRIS,
18 Plaintiff,
19
20 vs.
21

22 AHC ACQUISITION LLC D/B/A LA
23 CITY CARS; FAN PALMS
24 PROPERTIES, LP; and DOES 1 to 10,
25 Defendants.
26

27 **Case No.:**

28 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;
2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;
3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;
4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;
5. NEGLIGENCE

27 Plaintiff MICHAEL HARRIS ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants AHC
28 ACQUISITION LLC D/B/A LA CITY CARS; FAN PALMS PROPERTIES, LP; and
DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:
//
//
//

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers from hemiplegia and hemiparesis due to a stroke and is substantially limited in his ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners, business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a car dealership (“Business”) located at or about 1515 N. Hacienda Blvd., La Puente, California.

3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly and severally.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and 1333 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et seq.*).

1 7. Pursuant to pendent jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
2 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
3 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
4 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

5 8. Plaintiff's claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

6 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1331(b). The real
7 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,
8 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

9 FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10 10. In or about August of 2024, Plaintiff went to the Business.

11 11. The Business is a car dealership business establishment, open to the public,
12 and is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.
13 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

14 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
15 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with his ability to use and enjoy the
16 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

17 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
18 included, but were not limited to, the following:

19 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
20 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
21 failed to provide any parking space designated for persons with
22 disabilities. The closest accessible parking space is designated for the
23 other business and there is no accessible parking space for the area
24 designated for customer parking.

25 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
26 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
27 failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the
28 International Symbol of Accessibility.

- c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants failed to post required signage such as “Van Accessible,” “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Unauthorized Parking.”
- d. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the persons with disabilities.
- e. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants failed to paint the ground as required.
- f. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants failed to mark the space with the International Symbol of Accessibility.
- g. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants failed to provide adequate numbers of accessible parking spaces in its facility as there were over 200 regular parking spaces for the entire lot.

14. These barriers and conditions denied Plaintiff full and equal access to the Business and caused Plaintiff difficulty and frustration. Plaintiff wishes to return and patronize the Business; however, Plaintiff is deterred from visiting the Business because his knowledge of these violations prevents him from returning until the barriers are removed.

15. Based on the violations, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that there are additional barriers to accessibility at the Business after further site inspection.

1 Plaintiff seeks to have all barriers related to his disability remedied. *See Doran v. 7-
2 Eleven, Inc.* 524 F.3d 1034 (9th Cir. 2008).

3 16. In addition, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that Defendants
4 knew that particular barriers render the Business inaccessible, violate state and federal
5 law, and interfere with access for the physically disabled.

6 17. At all relevant times, Defendants had and still have control and dominion
7 over the conditions at this location and had and still have the financial resources to
8 remove these barriers without much difficulty or expenses to make the Business
9 accessible to the physically disabled in compliance with ADDAG and Title 24
10 regulations. Defendants have not removed such barriers and have not modified the
11 Business to conform to accessibility regulations.

12 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

13 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT OF 1990**

14 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
15 paragraphs in this complaint.

16 19. Under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (“ADA”), no individual
17 shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of
18 the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of
19 public accommodation by any person who owns, leases, or leases to, or operates a place
20 of public accommodation. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).

21 20. Discrimination, *inter alia*, includes:

22 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
23 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
24 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
25 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
26 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
27 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
28 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).

- b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities where such alterations to the path of travel or the bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every

1 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
2 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
3 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
4 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

5 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
6 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
7 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
8 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
9 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
10 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
11 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
12 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
13 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
14 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

15 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
16 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
17 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
18 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
19 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
20 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
21 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner’s expense...”
22 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

23 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide any parking space designated for persons
24 with disabilities. The closest accessible parking space is designated for the other business
25 and there is no accessible parking space for the area designated for customer parking.
26 Defendants also failed to provide the parking space identification sign with the
27 International Symbol of Accessibility. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the signs
28 stating, “Minimum Fine \$250” and “Van Accessible.” Moreover, Defendants failed to

1 provide the additional sign with the specific language stating "Unauthorized vehicles
2 parked in designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special
3 license plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed away at the owner's
4 expense..."

5 25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
6 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
7 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
8 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
9 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
10 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
11 11B-502.3.3.

12 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no
13 "NO PARKING" marking and blue hatched lines painted on the parking surface.
14 Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the minimum width of 96
15 inches.

16 27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
17 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
18 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide
19 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
20 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
21 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
22 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
23 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
24 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

25 28. Here, Defendants failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on
26 the surface as required.

27 29. Parking spaces complying with 502 shall be provided in accordance with
28 Table 208.2 except as required by 208.2.1, 208.2.2, and 208.2.2.3. 2010 ADA Standards

§ 208.2. Where more than one parking facility is provided on a site, the number of accessible spaces provided on the site shall be calculated according to the number of spaces required for each parking facility. *Id.*

30. Here, Defendants failed to provide adequate numbers of accessible parking spaces in its facility as there were over 200 regular parking spaces for the entire lot.

31. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

32. By failing to maintain the facility to be readily accessible and usable by Plaintiff, Defendants are in violation of Plaintiff's rights under the ADA and its related regulations.

33. The Business has denied and continues to deny full and equal access to Plaintiff and to other people with disabilities. Plaintiff has been and will continue to be discriminated against due to the lack of accessible facilities, and therefore, seeks injunctive relief to alter facilities to make such facilities readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF THE UNRUH CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

34. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

35. California Civil Code § 51 states, “All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, medical condition, genetic information, marital status, sexual orientation, citizenship, primary language, or immigration status are entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever.”

36. California Civil Code § 52 states, “Whoever denies, aids or incites a denial, or make any discrimination or distinction contrary to Section 51, 515, or 51.6, is liable

for each and every offense for the actual damages, and any amount that may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damage but in no case less than four thousand dollars (\$4,000) and any attorney's fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 51, 51.5, or 51.6.

37. California Civil Code § 51(f) specifies, “a violation of the right of any individual under federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) shall also constitute a violation of this section.”

38. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code §§ 51 and 52.

39. The violations of the Unruh Civil Rights Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT

40. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

41. California Civil Code § 54.1(a) states, “Individuals with disabilities shall be entitled to full and equal access, as other members of the general public, to accommodations, advantages, facilities, medical facilities, including hospitals, clinics, and physicians’ offices, and privileges of all common carriers, airplanes, motor vehicles, railroad trains, motorbuses, streetcars, boats, or any other public conveyances or modes of transportation (whether private, public, franchised, licensed, contracted, or otherwise provided), telephone facilities, adoption agencies, private schools, hotels, loading places, places of public accommodations, amusement, or resort, and other places in which the

general public is invited, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law, or state or federal regulation, and applicable alike to all persons.

42. California Civil Code § 54.3(a) states, “Any person or persons, firm or corporation who denies or interferes with admittance to or enjoyment of public facilities as specified in Sections 54 and 54.1 or otherwise interferes with the rights of an individual with a disability under Sections 54, 54.1 and 54.2 is liable for each offense for the actual damages, and any amount as may be determined by a jury, or a court sitting without a jury, up to a maximum of three times the amount of actual damages but in no case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney’s fees that may be determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

43. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, “a violation of the right of an individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the access of any person in violation of that act.

44. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

45. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.

46. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

47. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each

1 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
 2 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
 3 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

4 48. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
 5 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
 6 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
 7 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
 8 requires that “when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or
 9 employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for
 10 persons with disabilities.

11 49. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be
 12 discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods
 13 (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who
 14 owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a).
 15 Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct
 16 violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an
 17 award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not
 18 limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

21 50. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 22 paragraphs in this complaint.

23 51. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil
 24 Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities
 25 to the Plaintiff.

26 52. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA,
 27 Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition practices;

4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this action;

5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: November 1, 2024

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
Jason J. Kim, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff